

- SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

Ta J						
	DE	PARTMEN	NT OF P	PLANNING	TAND BU	HEDING
	<i>y</i> – –	• • • • • • • •				VED
Isro.		THIS !!	S A NEW PRO	JECT REFERRA	I	
DATE:	2/24/201		JA MEII I NO		_ 開発分	2015
76 :	P	W			FERP 75 ST	20 15 ~
FROM	Steve Mo	cMasters (805-781 Feam / Developmo	I-5096 or smcr ent Review	masters@co slo c	QUNTY OF SAN QUS PARTMENT OF F	LUIS OBISPO LUIS OBISP PUBLIC WORK
Minor Use authorized approved 270 Via P Blancas, a	e Permit to d under D0 by the Cal iedras Blad approximat	amend D010029l 010029P, and to re lifornia Coastal Co ncas, on the east tely 8 miles north	P to eliminate tecognize the dominission (CD side of Highward)	TRANS Caltrans the development of the PP 3-13-012). The py 1, approximatel nity of San Simeor ground information	of two single famile relocated Highwo project is located y two miles north n, North Coast Pla	y residences ay 1 as I at 255 and of Piedras
		h your comments nd within 60 days.		iter than: 14 days	from receipt of thi	is referral.
PART 1 -	IS THE AT	TACHED INFOR	MATION ADE	QUATE TO COMI	PLETE YOUR RE	VIEW?
t y∕	YES NO		to discuss wha	at else you need. m outside agencie		days in which
PART II -	ARE THE	RE SIGNIFICANT	CONCERNS.			
	1 VL_ V I	□VV!	.,	PROBLEMS OR	IMPACTS IN YO	UR AREA OF
	YES NO	(Please describ	e impacts, alor	ng with recommer	nded mitigation me	easures to
<u> </u>	YES NO	(Please describ reduce the impa (Please go on to	e impacts, alor acts to less-tha o PART III)	ng with recommer	nded mitigation mess, and attach to the	easures to
CI CY PART III - Ple	YES NO INDICATE	(Please describ reduce the impa (Please go on to E YOUR RECOMI	e impacts, alor acts to less-tha o PART III) MENDATION F of approval you	ng with recommer in-significant level FOR FINAL ACTIO	nded mitigation mes, and attach to the	easures to nis letter)

COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER • SAN LUIS OBISPO • CALIFORNIA 93408 • (805)781-5600

EMAIL: planning @co.slo.ca.us • FAX: (805) 781-1242• WEBSITE: http://www.sloplanning.org

ATTACHMENT 4



RE: DRC2014-00082 CALTRANS, Coastal E-Referral, MUP, Piedras Blancas

Robinson, Daniel@Coastal to: smcmasters@co.slo.ca.us, dhawkins@co.slo.ca.us

03/18/2015 03:54 PM

Cc: "Boudreau, Cecilia J@DOT"

History:

This message has been forwarded.

Hi Steve (and Cecilia) – please accept these initial new project referral comments on the proposed MUP/CPD to amend the Sani CDP pursuant to CDP 3-13-012, addressing Special Condition 10a (Sani CDP amendment) and Special Condition 11 (through changes to the recorded documents on the Sani property).

In general, the proposal to amend the existing Sani CDP and consolidate the existing recorded documents into one stand-alone scenic and conservation easement (or the like) follows our expectations for this required condition compliance. We do have the following suggestions to ensure all parties have the information needed to analyze the project.

Thus, we would appreciate:

- 1. A more descriptive project description (e.g. explain what "abandonment," "removal," and "demolition" means in detail and explain the difference between them). Also an explanation as to why some things would be abandoned and some things would be removed. Why is Caltrans proposing to, for example, remove utilities but abandon the septic tank?
- 2. Further clarification on the proposal for two 100-foot radius easements and the proposed allowance for construction of replacement wells within each easement. What would happen if the wells in the future would need to be relocated outside of the 100 foot easement area? Would other development would be allowed within the easement?
- 3. Further clarification on what "maintenance" means, in the context of reserving the access and utility easement to the two wells "for the purposes of maintenance of well and septic system." We are concerned about potential armoring of the wells in the future after some removal of the shoreline protection, and would suggest some thought going into a process for relocating those wells inland instead of armoring, which, even with some armoring, may not be sustainable in the long run.
- 4. Additional detail and information on exactly how the proposed amendment will include "screening requirements described in Special Condition #3 of CDP 3-13-012." An up-to-date landscape screening plan on these two parcels will be critical.
- 5. Additional detail and information in terms of "retaining all other development limitations included in existing deed restrictions/scenic easements/mitigation agreements." We would appreciate the opportunity to review any draft scenic and conservation easement necessary to comply with the requirements of Special Condition #11.
- 6. A description of how the County is to address the issue of analyzing and/or

ATTACHMENT 4

approving this amendment based on "future conditions of the site after the rock slope protection is removed... including future erosion rates associated with the unprotected shoreline."

Thank you for the opportunity and look forward to working with Caltrans and the County on this portion of the overall project.

Daniel

From: dhawkins@co.slo.ca.us [mailto:dhawkins@co.slo.ca.us] On Behalf Of plreferrals@co.slo.ca.us

Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2015 8:29 AM

Cc: smcmasters@co.slo.ca.us; dhawkins@co.slo.ca.us

Subject: DRC2014-00082 CALTRANS, Coastal E-Referral, MUP, Piedras Blancas

San Luis Obispo County
Planning & Building Department

DRC2014-00082 CALTRANS, Coastal E-Referral, MUP, Piedras Blancas

The attached application was recently filed with the Planning Department for review and approval. Because the proposal may be of interest or concern to your agency or community group, we are notifying you of the availability of a referral on the project.

Please comment on all issues that you see may be associated with this project.

Please respond to this referral within 14 days of receiving this e-mail.

Community Advisory Groups, please respond within 60 days of receiving this e-mail.

Direct your comments to the planner, Steve McMasters at 805-781-5096 or smcmasters@co.slo.ca.us.

Community Advisory Groups: You will want to contact the applicant and/or agent for the project to request a presentation to your group, or simply to answer questions about the project. The telephone number and address for the applicant/agent are provided in the link below.

Direct link to CALTRANS referral package.

Link to webpage for all referral packages: http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/planning/referrals.htm

Web-Page Referral Form

Date: 02/25/2015

Planner: Steve McMasters Applicant Name: Caltrans

Case Number: DRC2014-00082

ATTACHMENT 4

Project Description: MUP

APN:

Referral Response:

As part of your response to this referral, please answer the following questions. You may also choose to respond that you have no comments regarding the proposal.

Agencies:

Are there significant concerns, problems or impacts in your area of review?

If Yes, please describe the impacts along with any recommendations to reduce the impacts in your response.

Community Advisory Groups:

If your community has a "vision" statement in the Area Plan - does the community feel this project helps to achieve that vision? If No, please describe.

What does the community like or dislike about the project or proposal?

Is the project compatible with surrounding development, does it fit in well with its surroundings? If No, are there changes in the project that would make it fit in better?

Does the community believe the road(s) that provide access to the site is(are) already overcrowded?

Does the community wish to have a trail in this location?

If the proposal is a General Plan Amendment, does the community feel the proposed change would encourage other surrounding properties to intensify, or establish intense uses that would not otherwise occur?

Please make any other comments regarding the proposal.

Thank you,

Donna Hawkins Current Planning Division dhawkins@co.slo.ca.us 805-788-2009 Fax 805-781-1242

[Scanned @co.slo.ca.us]